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Upcoming Events

Webinar Series

- All Things ESPP @ www.computershare.com/allthingsespp
- All Things Equity Plans @ www.computershare.com/allthingsequityplans

ESPP Day

- November 8, 2018, San Jose, CA
- Sign up for alerts at www.computershare.com/esppday
Disclaimer

The following presentation and the views expressed by the presenters are not intended to provide legal, tax, accounting, investment, or other professional advice. The information contained in this presentation is general in nature and based on authorities that are subject to change. Applicability to specific situations should be determined through consultation with your investment, legal, and tax advisors. The information contained in these materials is only current as of the date produced. The materials have not been and will not be updated to incorporate any changes since the production date.
Asking Questions

Enter your question into the Questions pane on the GoToWebinar Control Panel.
Housekeeping

Presentation is being recorded
  - Email will be sent to all attendees with link to recording and presentation

Presentation qualifies for one CEP credit

Please take our survey!
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Agenda & Learning Objectives

**Agenda**

- Introduction
  - Ways to think about costs and benefits

- Design features
  - Plan aspects and effect on value

- Add-ons
  - Details that can make a plan take flight

- Wrap up
  - Takeaways and questions

**Learning Objectives**

- Examine different ways of measuring cost and benefit of ESPPs

- Explore different plan features and their effect on plan cost and employee benefit

- Review statistics on market prevalence of various plan designs

- Highlight actionable ways of unlocking employee value from an ESPP
The ESPP of 2018

› The pendulum is swinging back toward ESPPs as a broad-based equity vehicle
› Not all ESPPs are created equal
  - Plan terms and features; communication; culture
› How do you know what’s best for you?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“Bang” (Benefit)</th>
<th>“Buck” (Cost)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Dollar value delivered &amp; riskiness</td>
<td>▪ Administrative complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Participation &amp; engagement</td>
<td>▪ Accounting (ASC 718) cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Value perceived by employees</td>
<td>▪ Communication difficulties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Computershare Plan Design Summary

Plan Type
- Non-Qualified
- 423B Qualified

Purchase Period Length
- Bi-Weekly
- Semi Annual
- Quarterly
- Monthly
- Annual
- Other

Discounts
- 0% w/ Match
- 0% No Match
- 15%
- 10%
- 5%
- Other

Look-Back
- Look-Back
- No Look-Back
Design Features

What to consider as you maximize Bang for your Buck
Know your employees!
One size doesn’t fit all.

- Is this plan for long-term ownership or short-term compensation?
- Do employees have ESPP exposure from past jobs?
- Are employees used to receiving other equity comp?
- How savvy are employees with financial concepts?
- How much participation does our old plan get?
- Does understanding/sophistication differ by role?
Discount Percentage
5%, 10%, 15%...more?

› Large discounts are back on the rise


› Accounting for a discount is easy…it’s just not free above 5%!
› How strongly does a higher discount drive participation?
Higher discounts drive participation and contribution rates

Data source: Computershare analysis of 240 client ESPPs
Lookbacks

Slightly more complex, but potential for major windfall

- In a single decent purchase period, a lookback feature can radically increase value delivered

- Accounting still straightforward: just use a form of Black-Scholes
  - Value typically similar to stock option, a fraction of stock price
Benefits of Lookbacks
Actual and perceived

› A lookback feature opens a whole range of upside, with same floor as a fixed discount

No lookback: cost and gain = $882
With lookback: cost = $1,234

› Perceived benefit: do employees understand the upside?
› What drives their perception and how can we help?
Employees respond positively to lookback benefits

Average Yearly Contribution

No Lookback: $650
Lookback: $1,850

Participation Rate

No Lookback: 16%
Lookback: 25%

Data source: Computershare analysis of 240 client ESPPs
### Illustration: 6 month offering, 6 month purchases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1/1/18</th>
<th>7/1/18</th>
<th>1/1/19</th>
<th>7/1/19</th>
<th>1/1/20</th>
<th>7/1/20</th>
<th>1/1/21</th>
<th>7/1/21</th>
<th>1/1/22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1, P1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2, P1</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3, P1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4, P1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O5, P1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O6, P1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O7, P1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Accrue deductions toward purchase**
- **P** Purchase occurs
- **Lookback price set**
Illustration: 24 month offering, 6 month purchases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1/1/18</th>
<th>7/1/18</th>
<th>1/1/19</th>
<th>7/1/19</th>
<th>1/1/20</th>
<th>7/1/20</th>
<th>1/1/21</th>
<th>7/1/21</th>
<th>1/1/22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1, P1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1, P2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1, P3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1, P4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2, P1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2, P2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2, P3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2, P4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Accrue deductions toward purchase**
- **Begin recognizing expense**
- **Purchase occurs**
- **Lookback price set**
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Offering Length: beyond six months

- 6 months is still most common (~50%)
  - 12-24 months is also common (~20%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pro</th>
<th>Con</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Longer terms increase potential windfall size</td>
<td>▪ Longer offerings also mean overlapping offerings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Accounting burden only marginally tougher</td>
<td>▪ Additional administrative and tracking burden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Extending previous example: expense increases by 17%; avg. gain increases by 32%</td>
<td>- Communication challenges, water cooler confusion due to different active offerings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resets and Rollovers

Higher cost, maximum benefit

› For longer offerings with multiple purchase periods
  - When the stock price goes down, the lookback price “resets” to that lower price
› Of companies with interim purchases within longer offerings, 38% have reset features

The number of moving parts means three things:

1. Accounting is more complex: every reset is a “modification”
2. Administration and tracking are trickier still
3. Communication and education are even more crucial

› Employee upside is very high: this is the most favorable “standard” plan design
Add-Ons

Other details that can drive more employee value
Auto-Enrollment

A unique solution for unique situations

› Auto-enrollment is gaining traction for things like 401 (k) plans, but is still rare for ESPPs
› Part of the reason is accounting: you’re generally stuck with expense if someone withdraws

› So when might auto-enrollment make sense to consider?

- Likely to have minimal withdrawals (e.g., small group, savvy culture)
- Major inflection point in business (e.g., change in control)
- Special buying opportunity (e.g., start offering at IPO price)
Most compensatory plans are 423-qualified, so designs are considered “standard”

IRC 423 gives employees favorable tax treatment if holding conditions are met

Accounting treatment is the same for otherwise-identical non-qualified plans

On one hand...

- Without worrying about 423, design can be more flexible: limited participation, higher discount, longer term, etc.
- Employees may sell quickly and not take advantage of the tax treatment
- The company always gets a tax deduction for purchases in a non-qualified plan

On the other...
IRC 423-qualified plans are associated with higher contributions

But non-qualified vary widely in favorability and participation

Data source: Computershare analysis of 240 client ESPPs
Communication: tying it all together

> Numerous options at your disposal...what do you do, and what works best for you?

- Annual TR statements
- Ongoing enrollment windows
- New hire benefits overview
- Intranet/email
- Webinars
- Townhall/Roadshow

ESPP Communication & Education

What

When
Wrap Up
# Key Takeaway: Get the right plan for your situation

| #1 | Benefits and costs have a quantitative side and a qualitative side. Always consider both. |
| #2 | The quantitative side—potential dollar gains and accounting costs—can be modeled. It’s 2018, decisions should be based on data. |
| #3 | The qualitative side is less black-and-white but no less important. Consider your workforce’s culture and experience. |
| #4 | Plans are less “one size fits all” than they have been in the last decade. Consider multiple designs when implementing a new plan. |
| #5 | To drive engagement and ROI: Communicate, communicate, communicate! |
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